Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/016,196	NGO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Perilla	2638	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Jason M. Perilla</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Kevin J. Canning</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview; 6 July 2005	Time: <u>4:30PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Claims 1-28 and 30-32 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
		•	
moll			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			